26

27

28

	2.	Defendant acknowledges receipt of a plea agreement in this case and agrees to
provid	de the si	igned, original plea agreement to the Government not later than five business days
before	the dis	position date set by the Court.

- 3. Defendant agrees to plead guilty to the charge pursuant to the plea agreement on or before August 25, 2008.
- 4. The material witnesses, Luis Eduardo Roman-Peralta, Bladimir Munoz-Moreno and Genaro Ramirez-Moreno, in this case:
 - a. Are aliens with no lawful right to enter or remain in the United States;
- b. Entered or attempted to enter the United States illegally on or about July 21, 2008;
- c. Were found in a vehicle driven by defendant in or near Jacumba, California and that defendant knew or acted in reckless disregard of the fact that they were aliens with no lawful right to enter or remain in the United States;
- d. Were paying or having others pay on their behalf \$2,700 to others to be brought into the United States illegally and/or transported illegally to their destination therein; and,
- e. May be released and remanded immediately to the Department of Homeland Security for return to their country of origin.
- After the material witnesses are ordered released by the Court pursuant to this 5. stipulation and joint motion, if defendant does not plead guilty to the charge set forth above, for any reason, or thereafter withdraws his guilty plea to that charge, defendant agrees that in any proceeding, including, but not limited to, motion hearings, trial, sentencing, appeal or collateral attack, that:
- The stipulated facts set forth in paragraph 4 above shall be admitted as a. substantive evidence:
- b. The United States may elicit hearsay testimony from arresting agents regarding any statements made by the material witness(es) provided in discovery, and such testimony shall be admitted as substantive evidence under Fed. R. Evid. 804(b)(3) as statements against interest of (an) unavailable witness(es); and,

2

1	
1	
1	
2	"test
3	and
4	waiv
5	
6	read
7	furtl
8	cour
9	
10	imn
11	Hon
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	Date
17	
18	D-4
19	Date
20	
21	D-4
22	Date
23	
24	
25	

26

27

28

Understanding that under Crawford v. Washington, 124 S. Ct. 1354 (2004), c. timonial" hearsay statements are not admissible against a defendant unless defendant confronted cross-examined the witness(es) who made the "testimonial" hearsay statements, defendant wes the right to confront and cross-examine the material witness(es) in this case.

By signing this stipulation and joint motion, defendant certifies that defendant has 6. it (or that it has been read to defendant in defendant's native language). Defendant certifies her that defendant has discussed the terms of this stipulation and joint motion with defense nsel and fully understands its meaning and effect.

Based on the foregoing, the parties jointly move the stipulation into evidence and for the nediate release and remand of the above-named material witness(es) to the Department of neland Security for return to their country of origin.

It is STIPULATED AND AGREED this date.

Respectfully submitted,

KAREN P. HEWITT United States Attorney

ted: 8/7/08.

ted: 31,308

ted: 7-31-08

United States Attorney

Defense Counsel for

MELVIN CESAR JONES, JR.

Stipulation of Fact and Joint Motion for Release of Material Witness(es) And Order Thereon in United States v. Melvin Cesar Jones, Jr.

Document 8 Filed 08/07/2008

Page 4 of 4

Stipulation of Fact and Joint Motion for Release of Material Witness(es) And Order Thereon in United States v. Melvin Cesar Jones, Jr.

Case 3:08-mj-02233-LSP